

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N | |
|-----------------------|---------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| 10/803,279 | | 03/18/2004 | M. David Butts | 480062004300 | 5654 | |
| 25224 | 7590 | 12/01/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| MORRISO 555 WEST R | | ERSTER, LLP | | BOUCHELLE, LAURA A | | |
| SUITE 3500 | | KLL1 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| LOS ANGEI | LES, CA | 90013-1024 | | 3763 | | |

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | _ |
|--|---|--|-----|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/803,279 | BUTTS ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Laura A. Bouchelle | 3763 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNI. 136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te. cause the application to become A | ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A | August 2006. | • | |
| <u> </u> | is action is non-final. | · | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under | | | i |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 and 26-45 is/are v 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | vithdrawn from considerati | on. | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin | er. | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac | | • | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | · | | l). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority. | nts have been received. nts have been received in a | Application No | |
| application from the International Burea | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis | | t received. | |
| • | | | |
| Attachment(s) | • | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | | Informal Patent Application | |

Application/Control Number: 10/803,279

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 6, 9, 10, 12, 13, 17, 18, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bellotti et al (US 4596571). Bellotti discloses a shroud for a connection site comprising a body 40 having a cannula 12 and a tail 22. Both the cannula and the tail are configured to receive a tube or a catheter thereon. The first 26 and second 28 portions of the mating connector are connected by a hinge 30. The cannula extends from a head 44.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 2, 3, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bellotti in view of Clark et al (US 4723948). Claim 2 differs from Bellotti in calling for the body and the securement device to be unitary. Clark teaches a catheter

Art Unit: 3763

attachment system wherein the body and the securement device are unitary which minimizes local stresses on the catheter in the region of the connection (Col. 2, lines 41-

44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time

of the invention to modify the device of Bellotti so that the body and the securement

device are unitary as taught by Clark to minimize local stresses on the catheter in the

region of the connection.

5. Claim 7 differs from Bellotti in calling for the tail to have a barbed end. Clark

teaches the use of a barbed end on the end of the cannula being inserted to function as

a guide to center the cannula in the lumen and to engage the inner surface of the lumen

(Col. 3, lines 15-23). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

the art at the time of invention to make the end of the tail barbed as taught by Clark to

quide the tail into the tube and to engage the inner wall of the tube.

6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bellotti in

view of Fonger et al (US 5190528). Claim 8 differs from Bellotti in calling for the open

end of the cannula to be rounded. Fonger teaches a cannula with a rounded distal end

to prevent scraping within the catheter when the cannula is inserted into the catheter

(Col. 3, lines 44-46). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

the art at the time of invention to modify the cannula of Bellotti to have a rounded open

end as taught by Fonger so that the cannula with not scrape within the catheter when it

is inserted.

Art Unit: 3763

7. Claims 14- 16, 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bellotti in view of Wilson et al (WO 02/058776) in further view of Brimhall et al (US 2003/0065288). Claims 14 and 21-25 differ from Bellotti in calling for the connector to comprise a winged covering apparatus. Wilson teaches a catheter having a connection cover 34 that prevents inadvertent separation of the catheter tube from the connector after insertion of the catheter into the patient (Page 8, lines 6-8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Bellotti to include a cover as taught by Wilson to prevent inadvertent separation of the catheter tube from the connector after insertion of the catheter.

8. Claim 14 further differs from the teachings of Bellotti in view of Wilson in calling for the covering to comprise wings. Claim 15 further calls for the winged covering apparatus to be made of silicone. Brimhall teaches the use of wings to facilitate taping or suturing of the catheter to the patient's skin (Page 1, Paragraph 0004). Further to maximize patient comfort, Brimhall teaches that the wings be made of a soft, flexible material such as silicone (Page 1, Paragraph 0005). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the covering of Bellotti in view of Wilson to have silicone wings as taught by Brimhall to facilitate taping or suturing of the catheter to the patient's skin and to maximize patient comfort.

9. Claim 16 differs from Bellotti in calling for the body to have a non-uniform outer surface, and the winged portion to have a non-uniform inner surface. Wilson teaches that the cover fits axially about the catheter tube and it attachable by a non-uniform inner surface that meshes with a non-uniform outer surface of the catheter to securely attach the cover to the body (Page 7, Lines 18-21). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Bellotti to include a cover with a non-uniform inner surface that meshes with the nonuniform outer surface of the body as taught by Wilson to securely attach the cover to the body.

Page 5

- 10. Claims 11 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bellotti view of Bellotti (EPO 0183396 A1) [Hereinafter Bellotti II]. Claim 11 differs from Bellotti in calling for the catheter receiving portion of the mating portion to funnel outward. Bellotti II teaches that the bore of the connector is funneled outward so that the bore does not engage the inserted cannula until it is advanced further into the connector (Page 3, lines 13-24). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the connector of Bellotti to have a funneled end at taught by Bellotti II so that the cannula is not immediately engaged with the connector upon insertion.
- 11. Claim 20 differs from Bellotti in calling for the mating portion to include catheter gripping liners. Bellotti II teaches a connector having a catheter gripping liner 48 that

Application/Control Number: 10/803,279

Art Unit: 3763

surround and protect the catheter when the mating portions are closed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the connector of Bellotti to have catheter gripping liners as taught by Bellotti II to surround and protect the catheter.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments, see pages9-11, filed 8/22/06, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 5, 10, 12, 13 under Olsen have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Bellotti (US4596571).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura A. Bouchelle whose telephone number is 571-272-2125. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 517-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,279 Page 7

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Laura A Bouchelle Examiner Art Unit 3763

LAB